

**REMARKS**

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated April 15, 2008, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed. Applicants appreciate the courtesies extended to the Applicants' representative during the telephone interview of July 14, 2008.

Claims 1-4, and 9-13 are rejected. With this response, claims 1, 2, and 9 are hereby amended. Support for the amendment can be found in at least Figs. 7-9 and 10G of the drawings filed with Applicants' application. Claims 1-16 remain pending in this application with claims 5-8 and 14-16 having previously been withdrawn.

During the interview of July 14, 2008, amendments to the claims were discussed for overcoming the rejections to the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. This response fully incorporates the discussion during the interview.

The Examiner objects to the amendment to Fig. 7 and states that the addition of the element 301 to the original Fig. 7 raises the issue of new matter. Office Action at page 8, second paragraph. While Applicants disagree that the amendment introduces new matter for the reasons detailed in Applicants' response filed on December 12, 2007, Applicants hereby submit a revision to FIG. 7 withdrawing the previous amendment. Accordingly, Applicants request that the objection to the drawings as adding new matter be withdrawn.

The Examiner objects to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the drawings. The Examiner identifies the feature "wherein the metal pattern completely overlaps the UV-hardening sealant and is formed at four edges of the first substrate," as not being shown in the drawings. With this response, the claims have been amended to remove the feature cited in the Office Action as not being shown in the drawings. Accordingly, Applicants request that the objection to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a) be withdrawn.

The Examiner objects to the Specification under 35 U.S.C. § 132 as introducing new matter into the application. Office Action at page 8, third paragraph. With this response, Applicants amend paragraph [0040] to restore paragraph to the text as originally filed. Accordingly, Applicants request that the objection to the specification under 35 U.S.C. § 132 be withdrawn.

In the Office Action, claims 1-4 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first

paragraph as allegedly containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, the Office Action points to the limitation "wherein the metal pattern completely overlaps the UV-hardening sealant and is formed at four edges of the first substrate." Office Action at page 4.

Applicants have amended claims 1 and 9 to remove the recitation identified by the Examiner in the rejection. Accordingly, Applicants request that the rejection to the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112 be withdrawn.

Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited. If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: July 15, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By Valerie P. Hayes  
Valerie P. Hayes  
Registration No.: 53,005  
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP  
1900 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 496-7500  
Attorneys for Applicants